

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/530,810	04/08/2005	Matthew Lee Brown	PU4807USW	7741
23347	7590 01/23/2006		EXAMINER	
GLAXOSMITHKLINE .			KOSACK, JOSEPH R	
	E INTELLECTUAL PRO E DR., PO BOX 13398	PERTY, MAI B475	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398		1626		

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/530,810	BROWN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joseph Kosack	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTE WHICHEVE - Extensions or after SIX (6) - If NO period of - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA f time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we say within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, neived by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ap</u>	oril 2005.				
•	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	Claims					
4a) O 5) ☐ Clain 6) ☐ Clain 7) ☐ Clain	n(s) 1-14,22-42 and 44 is/are pending in the fithe above claim(s) is/are withdrawn(s) is/are allowed. n(s) is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) is/are subject to restr	vn from consideration.	ıt.			
Application Pa	apers					
10) The d Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the Examine frawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the exament drawing sheet(s) including the correctionath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)		6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-14, 22-42, and 44 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Due to the numerous variables in the claims, e.g. R¹, R², R³, D¹, etc... and their widely divergent meanings, a precise listing of inventive groups cannot be made. The following groups are exemplary:

Group I, claim(s) 1-8 (in part), drawn to compounds of Formula I and their

pharmaceutical composition wherein the formula is

Meo Company

Group II, claim(s) 1-8 (in part), drawn to compounds of Formula I and their

pharmaceutical composition wherein the formula is

Group III, claim(s) 1-8 (in part), drawn to compounds of Formula I and their

pharmaceutical composition wherein the formula is

Art Unit: 1626

Group IV, claim(s) 1-8 (in part), drawn to compounds of Formula I and their

pharmaceutical composition wherein the formula is

Group V, claim(s) 1-8 (in part), drawn to compounds of Formula I and their pharmaceutical composition wherein the formula is

Group VI, claim(s) 1-8 (in part), drawn to compounds of Formula I and their

pharmaceutical composition wherein the formula is

Group VII, claim(s) 9-14, 22-42, and 44, drawn to methods of use of compounds and compositions of Formula I.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. Again, **this list is not exhausted**, as it would be impossible under the time constraints due to the sheer volume of subject matter instantly claimed. Therefore, Applicant may choose to elect a single invention by identifying another specific embodiment not listed in the exemplary groups of the invention and Examiner will endeavor to group the same. **If Applicant is unable to elect a single invention, Applicant may instead choose to elect a**

Art Unit: 1626

specific compound and Examiner will attempt to group it. The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 since the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. The compounds claimed contain

$$R_1$$
 R_2
 R_3

, where R₁ is aryl, heteroaryl, or heterocyclic; R₂ is alkyl or

H; and R₃ is aryl or heteroaryl; which does not define a contribution over the prior art (USPN 6,197,975). The substituents vary extensively and when taken as a whole result in vastly different compounds. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered proper. Additionally, the vastness of the claimed subject matter and the complications in understanding the claimed subject matter imposes a burden on any examination of the claimed subject matter. The method of use claims will be examined with the elected invention commensurate in scope therewith.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1626

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Kosack whose telephone number is (571)-272-5575. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15-3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571)-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Kosack
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1626

Joseph K. McKane

KAMAL A. SAEED, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626